

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,694	08/18/2003		Young-Jun Kim	4611-018	5085	
22440	7590	11/20/2006	~	EXAMINER		
GOTTLIEE	RACKN	MAN & REISMAN	CUTLER, ALBERT H			
270 MADIS 8TH FLOOR		IUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	-	0160601	2621			

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/643,6	94	KIM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit					
		Albert H.	Cutler	2621					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex munication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY O	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status	·								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 18 August 200	<u>3</u> .						
•		2b)⊠ This action is a							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ved in this Nationa	l Stage				
	application from the Internati	•	• • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/16/2003</u> .	1	6) Other:	i atom Application					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claim 6-10, drawn to a method and apparatus for assembling a digital camera module, classified in class 29, subclass 729.
  - Claim 1-5, drawn to a digital camera module, classified in class 348, subclass 340.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method of assembling a digital camera module does not require that the digital camera module includes an image sensor or a substrate having an electronic circuit. The method for assembling a digital camera as disclosed by applicant includes a jig and an assembling handler, and as shown above, would place a large burden on the examiner by requiring a search in a completely different area than that of a digital camera module. The subcombination has separate utility such as in an electronic camera.

Art Unit: 2621

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Tiberiu Weisz on November 7, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2621

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert H. Cutler whose telephone number is (571)-270-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571)-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC

PATRICK N. EDOUARD

IPPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE